



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,127	10/29/2001	Kimikazu Matsumoto	NEC N1128	9127
759	90 04/23/2003			
Norman P. Soloway HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY GROSSMAN & HAGE, P.C. 175 Canal Street			EXAMINER	
			LATTIN, CHRISTOPHER W	
Manchester, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2812	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Offic Act			10/055,127	MATSUMOTO, KIMIKAZU	
		Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
			Christopher W Lattin	2812	
Period fo	The MAII	LING DATE of this communication a		I I	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING E nsions of time r SIX (6) MONTO period for reply period for reply re to reply with reply received b	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 HS from the mailing date of this communication. y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re y is specified above, the maximum statutory perior in the set or extended period for reply will, by statu by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te. cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
1)	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on	:		
2a) <u></u> □	This action	on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Dispositi	Since this closed in ion of Clai	s application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matters, p r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.	
4)🛛	Claim(s)	<u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
	4a) Of the	above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1	-6 and 13-18 is/are rejected.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) 7	<u>'-12</u> is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)∐ .	The specifi	cation is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)🖾 -	The drawin	g(s) filed on <u>10/29/01</u> is/are: a)⊠ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	
		may not request that any objection to the		` '	
11) 🗌 ¯		ed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
		d, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
		declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
		.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowled	dgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[⊠ All b)□] Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	;	ies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bo ched detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14)∐ A	cknowledg	ment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).	
_		anslation of the foreign language pr ment is made of a claim for domes	* *		
Attachment	• •		_		
2) Notice	of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>(</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	

Art-Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim1-6, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al. (U.S. Patent 6,310,672) in view of Akutsu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,224,735).

Koike et al. teach panel, and the method for making the panel, with a first substrate including a black matrix defining openings, color filter layers disposed in said openings and a piece of material between the black matrix and color filter layers that is separated from a second substrate with electrodes for generating electric fields by a liquid crystal layer, but is silent regarding the resistivity of the color filter and the piece of material. Akutsu et al. provide one teaching of the common knowledge that color filters are typically of relatively high resistivity, e.g. 10⁸ ohm-cm. Official Notice is taken that it is well known to use even higher resistive materials for overcoat layers in order to protect the underlying layers. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to form an overcoat layer with a higher resistivity than the color filter layer or the black matrix. Furthermore, it is noted that applicant has not disclosed the materials used to obtain the resistivity characteristics claimed, apparently relying on materials well known and conventional in the art for that purpose. This

Application/Control Number: 10/055,127

Art-Unit: 2812

suggests that materials with the claimed resistivity properties are not novel in the art, nor critical to the invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to adequately anticipate or obviate either singularly or in combination with another reference all of the limitations of claim 7 including that the piece of material is different from the overcoat layer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Lattin whose telephone number is (703) 305-3017. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling, can be reached at (703) 308-3325. The fax numbers for this Group are (703) 872-9318 for responses to non-final actions and (703) 872-9319 responses to final actions.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examinar Technology Centar 2800